Previous references.

Jgang 10 Sls 14, 17, 18 & 23 G. 23-7-09
R 422-30/G. 7302-08 dt 15-7-09
R 4992-5000/G. 2317-09 dt 27-3-11.
R 7165-1893/94.

1910-11

(Gen. Fin)

File No. 286-10

Serial Nos. 14, 16, 17 & 35

Later references.

G.F. 286-10, Sl Nos 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8(a), 13, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 27 & 36.
Filed September 13.
G.F. 1-5 & 8, 11, 14, 16, 17 & 18;
2 Kharif Cs.
" 174-19 a 1-4; 3 Feb. 1920
" 5-8; 13 April 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 14, 16, 17 & 35

Part B. for June 13

SUBJECT.

petition of M. K. Krishna Rao and others of late Bakshi Thippaiah's family.

From the Dy Comm^r, Mysore Dt. No 423 dt 24-2-13 forwards copy of letter no 392 dt 1-2-12 from the Asst Comm^r, Mysore Division, expressing his views in the matter of allowing interest at 5% on the aggregate of short credits admitted by ex-agent Srinivasa Rao of Inam Tirumalli Village and recommends that the prayer of the petitioners for the reduction of interest from 5% to 3½% may be granted.

G. R. S. 58

From the Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja No 3177 dt 25
Forwards a printed memorial of Inamdar Mr. M. K Krishna Rao and others of the Bakshi Thippiah's fam

praying for a reduction of in the rate of interest charged on the loan advanced to them and their Inams being rendered inalienable and unattachable by any judicial process and solicits the submission of the papers in the Case

17 From the M. Krishna Rao & others
petition dy 25.5.11
prays for redress in the matter of several items of complaints in respect of their Inam Mfds

35 To the N. Gangadharam Rao and others of Bakshi Thippaiah's family, Chowdiah's Bungalow, Chamaraj road, Mysore
No H7523 dy 30.6.13.
with reference to their petition requesting that the rate of interest charged on the loan granted to their family may be reduced etc, informs that their request cannot be granted

To D.C. Mysore, No H7524 dy 30.6.13.
Forwards copy of the above.

G.R.S. 11] 1911-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. 7.)

NOTES.

File No. 286

FILE HEADING.

Petition of M. K. Krishna Rao and others of late Bakshi Thippiah's family

From The Deputy Commr Mysore Dt

No. 423 Dated 24-2-12 Received 25-2-12

Diary No. 3058	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 14.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

2nd copy of letter No 392 dt: 1-2-12 from the Asst Commr Mysore Dt expressing his views in the matter of allowing interest at 5% on the aggregate of short credits admitted by ex-Agent Srinivasa Rao of inam Tirumalli village and recommends that the prayer of the petitioners for the reduction of interest from 5% to 3½% may be granted.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) It is reported that the agent may be charged with interest on the money misappropriated by him at the rate of 5% and that the agent is agreeable to it.

2) Reduction of interest from 5% to 3½%.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.7 File No. 286 of 1911-12

Serial No.

payable by the petitioners on their loans is recommended. The Dy Commr says that in consideration of the family to which the petitioners belong, the reduction prayed for may be granted. Govt have, in the case of the loan granted to Mr D. Devaraj lessened the rate of interest to 3½ %. (Vide G. O. No. 7L. 6157-61 / G.7.390-10 dt: 21-6-1911).

3. The previous reference on this subject (D. C's letter No. 212 dt: 4-11-11 referred to in the present letter under disposal) is pending orders of the Dewan.

Submit for orders.

DRB 27
13.3.12.

4) 13.3.12. Though the reduction prayed in the manner suggested is unobjectionable, it might be necessary in bad cases to put out unnecessary applications by showing some concession or other. This case is complicated by the fact that one branch has completely paid up & the whole benefit of the concession now asked for. The prayer for reduction might be allowed has under the circumstances stated.

5) Please prepare draft

DRB

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—29-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Q.7 File No. 286 of 191/

Serial No.

Draft subd according/y.

S.U-1665
15/3/12
Mysore

Jeeva)

15.3.12

W/15/3

245/16.3.12

Devan)

15.3.12

What steps are to be taken in pursuance of interest asked in Make? [vide similar query on petition]

Secy of Mysore
15.3.12

No 3488 from M. Krishna Rao dated 25.5.11 -

Herewith linked + submitted

N.36/9/5

Copy of Extract from page 6 & 7 of the note H & No. 3561 (Pet 3488) Containing Comptroller's reply to the query:

"The accounts from 1st July 1907 have not yet been received, the last reference to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, having been made on the 22nd ultimo and until up-to-date figures are received, the effect of the change cannot be accurately stated. But taking into account the amounts repaid by the three Branches from time to time up to the end of June 1907, the interest demand

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1911-12

Serial No.

up to that date Calculated at 3 per cent will be Rs 6074-3-0 against Rs 11,240-12-2 as already credited at 5 per cent resulting in a saving of Rs 5166-9-2 in all, (Sannu Rao & others' portion being Rs 3035-1-1

Sd. N. Krishna Sannu Rao

The linked file has been marked by S/T 1912 to Dewan.

Subd. HR
N-26
13/5 to Dewan

Linked to Dewan's cont^n of previous papers
KE
17/5
20/5

Subsequent reminder petitions from Sannan Krishna Rao & others of the late Bakshi Thippanahi family praying for a reduction in the rate of interest levied on their loan accounts (D. 3468 & 3561 of 1911-12) are all before the Dewan having been submitted on 20/2/1913. This has to be put up therewith and considered in the disposal of the question.

Dewan 20/2/1913.

Subd
N.R.
8/3 HR

Yes. Must await the return of the file now before the Dewan

8/3/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

97 File No. 96 of 1910

HD. 3658. Reminder petition. linked

Serial No.

16 From the Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja - No 3177 dt 25/3/1912
Forwards a printed memorial of Inamdar Mr. M. K. Krishna Rao and others of the Balkhi Thippacala family praying for a reduction in the rate of interest charged on their loan advanced to them and their Inams being rendered 'inalienable and 'unattachable' by any judicial process and solicits the submission of the papers in the case.

17 From ~~the Peccade~~ M. Krishna Rao & others
Petition (No 3488) dt 25-5-11.
Prays for redress in the matter of several items of complaints in respect of their Inam villages

"Secretary

1 I have marked 10 points in the petition & marked them A. to J respectively.

2 My queries on these 10 points are noted in a memo separately put up.

3 Pray let me have an office note explaining matters in these ten points

as

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 97 File No. 280 of 1910

Serial No.

as they now stand

Intd. J. AR
27-3-1912.

Br II

4 Intd. C.S.B.
-/4/1912.

5 The file of papers connected with the loan account and the previous petition of the ~~deceased~~ members of the late Bakshi Thippaiahs family are pending before the Dewan, the latest reference being (7 D. No 3050 Subd on 16/3/1912.)

6 a note touching on the 10 points specifically noted by the Dewan is only possible when the reference files are received back in the Branch.

7 However a very brief note answering the points (from memory) is ~~subjoined~~ given below for information

8 A. No change in the system of adjusting the loan accounts of the family has been introduced. The annual statements show the Branches separately & the realisations and dealings therein separately from the State Shares

9 B. The work of furnishing D. C. B. Statements

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

3

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G7 File No. 280 of 1910.

Serial No.

Statement to the Inamdars, whose inams are under Govt management, devolves on the Deputy Commissioner of the Dt. The Dy Com. Mysore, was asked to comply with the request of the petitioner ~~on~~ in this behalf.

Please see page 5 of the notes for the Rev Br. note.

10 (c) This is a matter for the Rev Br to note upon.

11 (d) Information on this score is not possible to be furnished without referring to the file put up as reference along with the papers now pending before the Revenue.

Please see page 6+7 of the notes for the answer on this point.

12 (e). This may perhaps be referred to the Comptroller's office immediately for noting the result.

13 (f). Tirumalli village was ordered to be taken over under Govt management. Failure on the part of the amildar was ~~s~~ duly noticed ~~see~~ several. The village is now under Govt. management.

14 (g). The parties concerned have not come to any agreement in respect of the partition.
No partition has therefore been effected.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ry File No. 286 of 1910-11.

Serial No.	
15	# On the representation of Mandar Mr Krishna Rao that the accretion of Tirmalay village was not being regularly credited to the loan accounts of the different interests involved, a revision of the ~~xxx~~ accounts during the period of Srinivas Rao's management was ordered. The file is now before the Dewan and Revenue Secy who reports that the accts have been satisfactorily adjusted.
16	(I) D. C. B Statements of the particulars villages under Govt management is not available in the Secretariat.
17	J. To be noted on by the J.R Section.
18	Sirs This relates to the alteration of Rule 13 ~~of~~ of the Rules of 2nd August 1901. The question is before the Dewan.
19	Sd/ 4/4 After getting point noted on by the J.R Section send the file W.R.T for noting on point (C) & after that send M.O & Compt for note on ~~xxx~~ point (C).
20	When all these are rec'd Compile a Separate note Submit. Sd/
21	To Branch I for kindly noting on point (C) referred to in the Assistant Secy's

Sd/ The S.R. Section's opinion is noted above.

Sd/ 18/12.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 286 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Note.

B/M 19)

16/4/12. 22 The details of the introduction of survey and settlement into Inam villages and the announcement of the settlement are left to the Survey Department. An Enquiry should be made in the Survey Department in regard to the delay in the announcement of rates complained of. It would be best for Collr. (55) 17-4-12 - Vernacular to put up a note after first ~~seen~~ returning back the files from the Dewan's Office.

Collr. 23 The Revenue Branch is not in possession of any information regarding the cause of the delay. The Supdt. of Survey has to be asked. The Survey and Settlement of Inam villages have to be carried out independently and it is only when the Settlement has spare time that it can be made to attend to this work. Some delay is therefore inevitable

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 286 of 1910-11

Serial No.

and as the fort bear the entire cost of the operation if the rates are accepted by the Inamdar there is every reason why he should submit to the convenience of the Survey department. It will also be found that Inamdars are very often responsible for the delay in not supplying the plans required and in not maintaining proper accounts &c. J. B. E.

18/4/12

Subd.

24 This may now be sent unofficially to the Comptr for noting on point (c).

Bbn 19/4

19/4/12 Forwarded G.O. to Asstt Comptr Loans, for noting on the above points (c).

J. S. Lawrence
18/4/12

No 30 Nbg
19-4-12

19/4

26 The accounts from 1st July 1907 have not yet been received, the last reference to the Dy Commissioner, Mysore having been made on the 22nd ultimo and until up-to-date figures are received

Note (c)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.T. File No. 286 of 1916

Serial No.

received, the effect of the change cannot be accurately stated. But taking into account the amounts repaid by the three branches from time to time upto the end of June'07, the interest demand upto that date calculated at 3 per cent will be Rs 6074-3-4 against Rs 11240-12-2 as already credited at 5 per Cent, resulting in a saving of Rs 5166-9-2 in all, (Saunder Row & others alone getting Rs 3035-11-6 portion being)

2d
6/5/12

Mr. K. Row

Mr. Krishnamachariar
6/5/1912.

4018
Subd
to the Dewan 5/3
Mr. K. Row's 2d letter

27 Subd. As there is a file pending before the Dewan for orders, on the representation of the Sowcars M. K. Krishna Row & others this may be submitted in continuation with the information that has been possible to collect in respect of the Dewan's queries.

Submitted (Dewan)
7/5

Submitted to Dewan in continuation of previous papers
M
10/5
10/5

1608
1-6-12

There is no reference pending on the subject in the Dewan's Office - Supd Bh II will kindly note in detail -
10/5
4.6.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ly.7 File No. 286 of 1910-11

Serial No.

File Ly.7 286-10 (3050). Mysore Dy Com^rs letter ~~Suggesting reduction of interest~~ to 3½ on the loan sanctioned to Bakshi Hoppaiah's family was resubmitted to the Dewan on 31/5/1912. (once before on 16/3)

2420/23.7.12

Another file Ly.7 37-09 re the prayer of the Inamdar for the grant of Rs 1100 to redeem the encumbrance over the Karanji lands which was first submitted to the Dewan on 16-8-1911 ~~recent~~ is presumed to have gone back to the Dewan's office direct on 2nd June 1912 after circulation to the ~~circulation~~ Councillors.

N-36 (B.R.Lg) 21/7/1912 To Supt, Dewan's office.

1668 & 2420/23.7.12 / 1.8.12

172 of 1910. S. 5289 -- is sent R

The connected file* about the Karanji Garden is separately returned with orders —

The information asked for on this file has not been fully furnished.

The case may now be properly worked up and submitted with the opinion of the Financial Secretary.

Mr N Rao 1912

B ii 1879

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Rem. Petn to D.No. 3468 (Sk.H)

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

Paragraphs in the Petition.	Serial No.	Govt's Query.	Office Note
5.	A.	Has there been any change.	No change in the system of adjusting the loan accounts of the family has been introduced. The Budget Estimates show the branches separately and the realisations were and are being credited separately and not as stated by the petitioner.
	B.	The demand for detailed account is legitimate and it is very unsatisfactory that it has not been complied with: What causes its delay and how does the matter now stands?	The work of furnishing D.C.B statements to the Inamdars whose inams are under Govt management devolves on the Deputy Commissioner of the Dt. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, was asked to comply with the request of the petitioner in this behalf. and in his letter No. 212 dy 3/11/1911 he reports that certain D.C.B statements have been arranged for being furnished to the Inamdars.
7.	C.	What about this delay in the introduction of the Survey Settlement. What are the facts.	Note by Br I. "The details of the introduction of Survey and Settlement into Inam villages and the announcement of the settlement are left to the Survey Department. An enquiry should be made in the Survey

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Q/T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.		
	Dr.	Department in regard to the delay in the announcement of rates complained of by Kind attention is invited to Dy Com's letter No. 353 dt 6/5/1912 explaining the cause for delay
10. D.	I wish to have a Statement shewing briefly the Estimated income which formed the basis of the loan order and the actual average realisations To save time rough figures will be sufficient.	The originally Estimated income The net estimated income from the properties of all the three branches was Rs 2063. vide Statement A in the old reference file. / Actual average realisations It is about Rs 1,386/- per annum. the nett realisations being Rs 24,958-1-3 during the past 18 years -
11. E.	Solicits the reduction of the rate of interest levied on the loan from 5% to 3%; the Concession having been extended in the Case of Mr. D. Devaraj Urs.	The rate of interest charged in the case of loan to the late Mr Dakroy Devaraj Urs is 3 3/4 p.c. vide G.O. No. Fl 4376-81 / 7-19-05 dt 20/2/1911. as a very Special case. The Dy Com'r, Mysore, recommends the reduction in this case vide his letter No. 423 dt 24/2/1911.

† Fl nos 759-12. sent to the Devans office. today 16-1-1913.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

	Serial No.		
13. T.		Has not Tirunelli been taken under Govt management If not, why not?	Thirunelli village was ord to be taken over under Govt Management. Failure on the part noticed severely vide G.O. No. R 422-30 & 15/7/1 §7302-08 R 1 para 14. The village is now under Govt management.
18. G.		How stands the question of partition? Has the partition been effected.	There is no sign of any partition having been effected - Kind attention is ~~also~~ invited to page 7 of the office note in G. 737-19 containing Dewan note on the subject. R (Hog)
21. H. X		What is the resolution referred to here? How stands the Subject and where is the file.	Disposed of vide G.O No. R 4996-9 §7286-10 dt. 18. 5. 1912. Hog X The question has been practically disposed of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R/T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.		
22. J.	Is there a D.C.B. Statement available in the Secretariat? If so let me see the latest?	D C B Statements of the particular village under Govt management is not available in the Secretariat. The Deputy Com^r, Mysore, reports aside of certain D C B statements having been arranged to be furnished to the parties (vide his letter No 212 dt 3/11/14
25. J * This note has been furnished by S R Section hereto attached.	How does this question of Toddy revenue now stand?	* Toddy revenue has been conceded to the Inamdar in Go. No. 330-L & F-12-01 dt 17/10/1903 files not readily available and the refund bills upto 1896-7 have been sanctioned in Go. 531-2 SR 212-10 dt 5/8/1911. The bills for subsequent years have not yet been received in this office.
	Ans The prayers of the petitioners all told amounts to :- (1) the reduction of the rate of interest on the loan ~~[illegible]~~ of Rs. 30000/- advanced	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

C R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Since 1893.

(2) the landed Estates of the family should be rendered 'inalienable' and 'unattachable' by any Judicial process.

The propriety of declaring the Estates of the Members of the Bakshi Thiffaiah family as "inalienable" & "unattachable" deserves consideration in the Judicial Branch -

2) The original Estimate of the annual income on which the grant of the loan was calculated (& about Rs 2063) is considerably higher than the annual income now put down by the petitioners viz Rs 1400/- Thus it will be seen that when applications for such loan are asked for inflated figures are furnished as the Estimated incomes; and in course of time when the annual instalment for payment of the loan falls short of the stipulated amount, such step is taken the Mortgaged villages into their management and discover the ~~shortness~~ smallness of the actual income, with the result that the repayment of the loan is delayed ~~and~~ large amounts become over due and the prospect restoration of the villages are retarded to a distant date. With the reduction in the rate of interest

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

PB—65,000—17-6-12.

Bakshi Krishnaiah Family

year	Sreenivasa Rau & Kesaraiah			Sreenivasa Rau & Venkataiah			Samma Rau & others			Total			Remarks.
1893-94	150	u	u	445	2	2	-	-	-	595	2	2	
94-95	338	10	8	384	2	4	-	-	-	722	13	u	
95-96	307	8	u	439	8	1	-	-	-	747	u	1	
96-97	307	2	u	314	6	1	559	7	1	1180	15	2	✓
97-98	318	8	8	465	14	10	712	14	7	1497	6	1	
98-99	310	-	-	315	10	1	664	2	4	1289	12	5	✓
99-1900	300	u	u	396	15	7	990	2	11	1687	2	6	✓
1900-01	300	u	u	300	5	7	819	13	4	1420	2	11	✓
01-02	124	u	u	291	3	9	683	9	1	1098	12	10	✓
02-03	461	8	u	236	8	7	1080	12	2	1778	12	9	✓
03-04	286	7	3	408	10	3	901	12	1	1596	13	7	✓
04-05	337	11	9	577	9	1	767	5	2	1682	10	u	✓
05-06	-	-	-	346	15	2	842	12	5	1189	11	7	✓
06-07	707	8	u	325	14	1	1617	4	u	2650	10	1	✓
07-08	95	12	-	220	11	9	1144	10	3	1461	2	u	✓
08-09	-	-	-	551	12	5	1065	5	9	1671	2	2	✓
09-1910	-	-	-	-	-	-	370	10	9	370	10	9	✓
10-11	-	-	-	687	12	1	1683	9	1	2371	5	2	
	4344	12	4	6709	1	11	13904	3	u	24958	1	3	

18) 24958 (1386
18
69
54
155
144
118
108

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

57 File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.

interest it would be feasible to minimise the dues to be yet recovered from this class of encumbered Jahagirdars.

Fwd formally
K.36
10/16-1-1913.

K
W.I.13

Revenue)

26 The questions regarding the properties inalienable as offered to public policy and cannot be approved. As regards the reduction of the rate of interest in consideration of the historic antiquity of the family the rate of interest may be reduced to 3¾ as in the case of the loans to the late lamented Mr. Balvant Rao Nana's Khatris Appeal would be seen will be ascertained from the Comptroller's Office.

K
W.I.13

Revenue)

29 (1) To A/c Loans for a brief and clear note as to how matters stand & what the effect will be of reducing the rate of interest to 3¾%.

(2) A.C. Loans will also state what rates of interest are now charged on other loans of a similar character & whether it is likely that if the prayer is granted Govt. will be embarrassed with other petitions for reducing the rate of inter-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

19/1/13.

G. R. S. 12]
(neral and Revenue Secretariat.

QT File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.

280 (i) The situation is by no means so bad as the petitioners have drawn it. on the other hand it is highly hopeful as will appear from the figures noted below and in the usual course of things the petitioners (Samun Bai's brands) may well look forward to be back in possession of their mortgaged villages in about 16 years.

ii. The amounts adjusted towards the loan from 1906 in the books of this office are for

07 - 08	—	1144-10-3
08 - 09	—	1065-5-9
09 - 10	—	370-10-9
10 - 11	—	1683-9-1
11 - 12	—	2307-12-2
	Total Rs	6572-0-0

nett income giving an average of Rs 1300 p.a. The amount outstanding in our books against this loan on the 1st of July last was Rs 4,200 in round number with an annual payment of 1300 Rs this debt will be fully discharged

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

R/T. File No. 280 of 1910-11

Serial No.

16

in about 18 years.

If however, Govt should be pleased to show further favors to this disabling in his family and reduce the rate of interest to 3 3/4 o/o then this same balance of Rs 14,700 will be fully paid up in about 14 years.

(2). The rate of interest charged on other loans of a similar character namely loans to encumbered zamindars is 5 per cent except in the two cases of the late messrs Balkrishna Basappaji Rao and Balvir Dwarakji Rao whose loans bear 4 o/o and 3 1/2 o/o interest respectively.

31 3. With regard to the point whether if the rate of interest is reduced in this case whether Govt may not be embarassed with petitions for reducing the rate of interest from other indebted inamdars and zamindars, it is very likely that most there will be such petitions from most of the indebted inamdars indebted to Govt. Under the petitioner in this case instance the case of m^r Dwarakji Rao as a precedent in

Further case Interest at 3 3/4 o/o is calculated only for 1 or July, so that if 3 3/4 o/o is allowed from the commencement of the loan the case will be cleared off the loan will be cleared off much earlier -

E

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G/F File No. 280 of 1910

Serial No.

in their favor and there appears to be little doubt that it was the reduction of interest in that case that has encouraged them to come up to Govt for similar favor. But considering the highly unsatisfactory condition of almost every one of these loans, it appears desirable that Govt should do all they can - barring of course actual loss to themselves - to end their relationship as ~~debtors~~ creditors as speedily as possible. One expedient to this end appears to be the reduction of the rate of interest. In this view perhaps the clamour from the Inamdars for reduction of interest may not be embarrassing in the result.

8/2/13

32 As regards facts I have nothing to add to the Fin. Branch and Asst Comptroller's notes above. I am strongly opposed on principle to change the terms of such loans after the transaction is once complete. Both the requests in the printed memorial seem to me to be inadmissible.

15/2/13

Dewan

1488
20-2-13

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Submitted to His Highness.

A

As called for in the Private Secretary's Memo No.3177 dated the 25th March 1912, I submit herewith the papers relating to the loan granted to the members of the late Bakshi Thippaiya's family.

2. In 1893, the Government sanctioned the grant to the members of this family of loans up to a limit of Rs. 30,000 repayable with interest at 5% per annum on the mortgage of their ancestral Inam villages to enable them to redeem the properties from the subsisting encumbrances. It was directed that in no case was the loan advanced to exceed 12 times the net revenue realized from the property mortgaged and that the villages should be taken over under Government management.

Pages 15 - 17

3. It is reported that out of the loan advanced to them a sum of Rs.14,200 was outstanding on the 1st July 1912 and that the average realization of the mortgaged lands is about Rs.1,300 as against the original estimated income of Rs.2,500. The Financial Department has pointed out that under present circumstances the debt will be fully discharged in about 16 years.

B

4. In their memorial dated 20th March 1912 the members under the erroneous impression that the discharge of the loan will take two centuries, pray that the rate of interest may be ordered to be reduced retrospectively from 5% to any rate not more than 3%, and also that in the interests of the family, the villages should be made inalienable and unattachable by any judicial process.

Page 17 of note.

5. The Financial Secretary states that he is strongly opposed on principle to change the terms of such loans after the transaction is once complete, and adds that both

the above requests seem to him inadmissible.

6. As the amount due by the petitioners is only Rs.14,200 and not Rs.23,000 as stated by them and as it is expected that the loan will be fully wiped off in about 16 years, I agree with the Financial Secretary that for the reasons stated by him it is undesirable that the rate of interest should be reduced.

7. As regards the other prayer of the petitioners, it is a most unusual one and cannot be granted except through special legislation. I am of opinion that there are no grounds for undertaking such exceptional legislation in this case.

M. V. Conway

22nd May 1913.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja



1017

Subject.

Memorial of certain members of the Bakshi Thippiah's family praying for a reduction of the rate of interest charged on the loan advanced to them by the Government, and for their Inams being declared inalienable and unattachable.

Order.

~~KRW~~
2/6/13

Secretary
5-6-13 RG

Draft

F 3561

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~97~~ File No. 286 of 1912-11

Serial No.

Draft submitted for approval
N.36 dtd.
10/6/1913.

K Seshamma

11-6-13

24/6

(Issue)

ab i/B

35 To- M.V. Gangadhara Rao + others of Bakshi Thippiah's family, Chandiah's Bungalow Chamaraj road Mysore

No 75230 dated 30-6-13

With refree to their petition requesting that the rate of interest charged on the loan granted to their family may be reduced &c, informs that their request cannot be granted

To - D.C Mysore No 75240 dated 30-6-13

Forward copy of above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPS—20,000—20-1-12

T/25/12

190

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Financial Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 24.2.12

Despatched

Received

General No. 423
No.

Enclosure No. /

Submitting report on the petition
of Sri K. Krishna Rao brother
of Late Bakshi Thippiah's
family

DIARY No. 3050



GPB—70 reams—27-12-09

423
Encl.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District - Dated Mysore
23rd Feb. 1912.

From

~~M.Kantaraj Urs Esquire B.A.~~

Deputy Commissioner- Mysore District.

To

The Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter No.212 of the 4th Nov. 11 submitting report on the petition of Mr. K.Krishna Rao and others of the late Bakshi Thippiah's family in the matter of mis-management of Inam Tirunelli village by Ex-Agent T. Srinivasa Rao, I have the honor to forward herewith the copy of letter No.392 of the 1st instant from the Assistant Commissioner Mysore Dn., expressing his views in the matter of allowing interest at 5 % on the aggregate of short credits, for favourable consideration of Government.

Further I beg to state that the petitioners have been long praying for the reduction of interest from 5 % to 3½% with a view that the large amount of loan may be liquidated at an early date, and in consideration of the family to which the petitioners belong I agree with the Assistant Commissioner in thinking that the interest may be reduced to 3½% and I accordingly recommend the reduction of interest.

I have the honor to be
sir,
your most obedient servant

M Krishnaraja Urs
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

O/C

Letter No. 392 dated 1st Feb. 12 from the Sub Division Officer Mysore Division to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No.3737 dated 19th Dec. 11 desiring me to hold further enquiries and report whether interest may be allowed on the aggregate of short credits admitted by Ex.Agent Srinivasa Rao, I have the honor to stae as follows:- On enquiry the petitioners told me that they had nothing to add to what they had stated in their petition to you.

It seems fair to charge the Agent with interest on the money mis appropriated by him, though owing to the absence of information showing the exact amount of misappropriation in each particular year, the calculation of that interest must be some what rough and arbitrary. The proposal of the petitioners to treat the aggregate amount as having been short - credited in equal parts during the successive years during which the village remained under the management of the Ex.Agent and to calculate interest on the supposition, seems to afford the only possible and workable basis of computation that could be devised under the circumstances, and I recommend it accordingly.

As for the rate of interest to be charged I beg to suggest that the E.Agent may be ordered to pay the same rate of interest that the Inamdars have been paying on the loans obtained by them from Government viz. 5%

To ascertain the views of the Ex.Agent on this subject, I issued a notice to him to appear before me. On account of old age and ill health however he was not able to attend court, but sent a petition in which he has agreed to pay interest at the rate of 5% on the lines herein recommended.

The petition and the file of papers are enclosed with this communication.

There was one point which the petitioners have been

3)

been continually pressing, and which I omitted to touch in my former report, namely reduction of interest-payable by them on their loans. I understand in the case of other Inamdars Government have reduced the rate of interest to 3½%. If a similar concession could be shown in the present, case, it would materially lighten the embarassments of an old but ruined family about whose welfare Government have always been solicitors.

I have etc.,

sd. N. Madhava Rao

Assistant Commissioner- Mysore Dn.

"c o p y"

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

No. 3177
Enc 1 + 1 book (Amb Separately)

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore, 25 · 3 · 1912

Dft. ... 3468

11/27/3 Br

Ur. Fin Dept. 27/3



Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: 11), Bangalore, for

Submission of papers in the case.

No. 960 of 11-12.

By Order,

Mirza M. Ismail

~~I.C.S.~~

~~For~~ *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

His Highness



Sri Krishnarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur, G.C.S.I.,

Maharaja of Mysore.

May it please your Highness,

We, **the Members of the late Musaheb Kille Cutcherry Bakshi Tippaiah's family,** most humbly and respectfully beg to submit for your Highness' gracious consideration and orders the following representation of ours:—

It would, perhaps, be encroaching upon your Highness' precious time and also reiterating what has already been said and known, if we should begin to narrate the **History of this Family** from the time it commenced its connection with the Mysore State, viz., **A.D. 1629.**

We will, therefore, content ourselves by submitting that our ancestors were held in high esteem by the successive Rulers of Mysore and by eminent British officers and Statesmen with whom they had to come in contact again and again in connection with Mysore and the important issues that arose concerning it from time to time.

Such recognition took a material form in the **shape of a Jahagir** bestowed upon Bakshi Tippaiah and his sons in the early years of the **nineteenth century** and thereabouts.

Owing to causes over which they had no control and which, it would, perhaps, be tiresome to your Highness if we dilate upon, heavy debts were contracted and the Jahagir became encumbered.

G. O. 317-21 (CAMP) MYSORE 6-6-1893.

But for the benign Government of Your Highness, having come to our rescue in 1893, perhaps the Jahagir would have passed out of our family. We are deeply sensible of the noble intentions of Government in granting us a loan of Rs. 30,000.

Their object was—we are most grateful for it—that the Jahagir should **continue in perpetuity** for our family and that the name of such a family should not be effaced by the hands of an enlightened administration.

G.O. FL. 2487/ GL. FIN. 37-09 BANGALORE, 19-11-1909.

A sum of Rs. 22,000 was advanced in the years 1893—94 and 1896—97; the balance of Rs. 8,000 in 1909—10. The rate of interest is ~~Rs.~~ 5% and the income of the Jahagir is to be credited towards the discharge of

G. O. FL. 4992-5000, GL. FIN. 317-09, 27-3-1911 & G. O. 2487/ GL. FIN. 37-09, 19-11-1911.

both the interest and the principal. Further as the management of the Jahagir was entrusted to Government, the cost of special establishments is also to be met. There is an outstanding of **Rs. 23,000 still due** to Government.

N

THE position now, as far as we can see, is most embarrassing as will be seen from what will follow. We observe that the income from all the villages in the Jahagir amounts to Rs. 1,400. Out of this revenue, a sum on account of interest and cost of establishments together amounting to Rs. 1,300 has to be met, thus leaving a small sum of Rs. 100 to be credited towards the principal.

AT this rate we cannot hope for the liquidation of the loan in anything less than **two centuries.** This information might perhaps be most appaling but there is the fact.

YOUR HIGHNESS, **it is this situation,** we pray to be saved from. The only plausible course to have the liquidation of the loan effected in a decade or two is, in our humble opinion, by reducing the rate of interest suitably. The noble intention of the Government in granting the loan to this family in the first instance namely **to secure the Jahagir to this family in perpetuity**—would otherwise be frustrated.

UNDER the circumstances which we have humbly submitted, in the above, for Your Highness' benign consideration, we respectfully pray that :—

(1) the rate of interest may graciously be ordered to be reduced **retrospectively** from 5% to any rate (not more than 3%) to enable us to get back our Jahagir at least within the next few years.

(2) In the interest of the **Family** it may be graciously ordered that this Jahagir should be made **inalienable** (this Jahagir which we beg to inform Your Highness, has no other encumbrance of any sort upon it) and **unattachable** by any Judicial process.

FOR such concessions, as above, we, as in duty bound, will ever remain loyal and grateful.

We beg to remain,

May it please Your Highness,

Your most obedient and loyal servants,

MYSORE,
20th *March 1912.*

Chowdiah Bungalow
Chamraj Road
Mysore.

M. Subbarao
V. Subba Rao.
M. K. Krishna Rao,
K. Subba Rao
M. Srinivasa Rao

THE G. T. A. PRESS, MYSORE.

Rem. Petn to D. No. 3468

3658

1912 S.K.

No. 4079 Serial No.

Name of Petitioner— Krishna Rao & another

Village— Mysore

Taluk— Do

District— Do

Date of Arzi— 20th March

Date of Receipt— 21st "

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

To Office for references and draft order.

30/3/12

S. D.

3/4/12

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners are the members of the late Bakshi Shippi family & have be-rowed money from Govt. under the ru- relating to loans t- Encumbered Manda-

They have re- -vised His Highn- the Maharaja pra- -ing 1) that the ra-

d)

e interest on the
an be reduced from
to 3 % ; and

2) that the pro-
berty be made
rtgageable and
nattachable -

They enclose a
py & solicit the
eum to grant
em their prayers —

R
1 . 3 . 12

40 : 9
1

M Y S O R E, 20th March 1912.

To

T.Ananda Rao, Esquire, B.A., C.I.E.,

D E W A N of M Y S O R E.

Respected Sir,

We, the members of the late Kille Cutchery Bakshi Tippaiah's family, in submitting herewith a copy of the memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore for your kind perusal and consideration, most humbly beg to state that we owe our present existence in the Mysore state to none-else but to you.

We have not forgotten, how you rescued our Jahagir, granted to our ancestors for the eminent public service rendered to the state so highly spoken of by Duke of Wellington; in 1893 when you were the Chief Secretary, by granting us a loan of Rs.30,000/- to redeem the Jahagir from the encum-

brance,

encumbrance, and how again, in 1909 reinstated the ruined but old and respectable family (immediately after you became the Dewan of Mysore) by granting us a further loan of Rs.8,000/- on the security of the same Jahagir which was already burdened by leaps and bounds when it was brought to Court Sale, with the noble intention that it was your earnest desire to see that this family should find a place in the state, at any cost, during your illustrious regime.

Now, our dear saviour, that the prayer referred to in the enclosed memorial has seriously engaged the attention of one and all of us, as ~~their~~ our advancement is stopped for want of encouragement and means though there are several junior members of the family ~~are~~ fit to take higher education after taking degrees of several universities.

By granting our humble prayer, on this auspicious new year, your excellency will be dropping Manna in the way of the starved people.

For

For which act of kindness, we shall pray for your long life and prosperity so long as the Sun and Moon endure.

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Veerlemooharadoo
M. K. Krishnamachary
Lumbers.

To

His Highness

Sri Krishnarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur, G.C.S.I.,

Maharaja of Mysore.

May it please your Highness,

We, **the Members of the late Musaheb Kille Cutcherry Bakshi Tippaiah's family**, most humbly and respectfully beg to submit for your Highness' gracious consideration and orders the following representation of ours:—

It would, perhaps, be encroaching upon your Highness' precious time and also reiterating what has already been said and known, if we should begin to narrate the **History of this Family** from the time it commenced its connection with the Mysore State, viz., **A.D. 1629.**

We will, therefore, content ourselves by submitting that our ancestors were held in high esteem by the successive Rulers of Mysore and by eminent British officers and Statesmen with whom they had to come in contact again and again in connection with Mysore and the important issues that arose concerning it from time to time.

Such recognition took a material form in the **shape of a Jahagir** bestowed upon Bakshi Tippaiah and his sons in the early years of the **nineteenth century** and thereabouts.

Owing to causes over which they had no control and which, it would, perhaps, be tiresome to your Highness if we dilate upon, heavy debts were contracted and the Jahagir became encumbered.

G. O. 317-21 (CAMP) MYSORE 6-6-1893.

But for the benign Government of Your Highness, having come to our rescue in 1893, perhaps the Jahagir would have passed out of our family. We are deeply sensible of the noble intentions of Government in granting us a loan of Rs. 30,000.

Their object was—we are most grateful for it—that the Jahagir should **continue in perpetuity** for our family and that the name of such a family should not be effaced by the hands of an enlightened administration.

G.O. Fl. 2487/ GL. FIN. 37-09 BANGALORE, 19-11-1909.

A sum of Rs. 22,000 was advanced in the years 1893—94 and 1896—97; the balance of Rs. 8,000 in 1909—10. The rate of interest is Rs. 5% and the income of the Jahagir is to be credited towards the discharge of

G.O. FL. 4992-5000, GL. FIN. 317-09, 27-3-1911 & G.O. 2487, GL. FIN. 37-09, 19-11-1911.

both the interest and the principal. Further as the management of the Jahagir was entrusted to Government, the cost of special establishments is also to be met. There is an outstanding of **Rs. 23,000 still due** to Government.

THE position now, as far as we can see, is most embarrassing as will be seen from what will follow. We observe that the income from all the villages in the Jahagir amounts to Rs. 1,400. Out of this revenue, a sum on account of interest and cost of establishments together amounting to Rs. 1,300 has to be met, thus leaving a small sum of Rs. 100 to be credited towards the principal.

AT this rate we cannot hope for the liquidation of the loan in anything less than **two centuries.** This information might perhaps be most appaling but there is the fact.

YOUR HIGHNESS, **it is this situation,** we pray to be saved from. The only plausible course to have the liquidation of the loan effected in a decade or two is, in our humble opinion, by reducing the rate of interest suitably. The noble intention of the Government in granting the loan to this family in the first instance namely **to secure the Jahagir to this family in perpetuity**—would otherwise be frustrated.

UNDER the circumstances which we have humbly submitted, in the above, for Your Highness' benign consideration, we respectfully pray that :—

(1) the rate of interest may graciously be ordered to be reduced **retrospectively** from 5% to any rate (not more than 3%) to enable us to get back our Jahagir at least within the next few years.

(2) In the interest of the **Family** it may be graciously ordered that this Jahagir should be made **inalienable** (this Jahagir which we beg to inform Your Highness, has no other encumbrance of any sort upon it) and **unattachable** by any Judicial process.

FOR such concessions, as above, we, as in duty bound, will ever remain loyal and grateful.

We beg to remain,

May it please Your Highness,

Your most obedient and loyal servants,

20th MYSORE,
March 1912.

M. Subba Rao
V. Subbarao —
M. K. Krishna Rao,
K. Subba Rao
M. Srinivasa Rao

THE G. T. A. PRESS, MYSORE.

Rem. Petn
to D. No. 3468

1912

Very Early
5 Nov 12

B ii
23
6/11

BB
6/11

Mark Early

No. 4952

Name of Petitioner—
M. K. Krishna Rao.

Village— Inamdar

Taluk— Mysore.

District— —do—

Date of Arzi— 5. 11. 12

Date of Receipt— 5. 11. 12

Date of Disposal— Diary No. 1530

No. of Enclosure— 13

ABSTRACT.

Prays that the Financial Secretary may be requested to look into the late Bakshi Thippiah's Loan Accounts And that a reduction in the rate of interest on the loan may be ordered.

2C.S. 5/11/12

[The previous petition No 4079 dated 20/3/12 was ordered to be sent to Office for references & a draft order on 30/3/12.]

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of R. 5/11/12
Petn. Regr. No. 4952

To,

Pradhana Siromani
T. Ananda Rao, Esquire, B.A., C.I.E.,
D e w a n of M y s o r e.

Most Respected Sir,

On behalf of the members of the late Bakshi Thippiah's family, I beg respectfully to urge that in spite of our repeated prayers for a correct settlement of accounts connected with our 'Jahaghir Loan' nothing has been done till now to meet our prayer. From an account furnished by the Assistant Comptroller it will be seen therefrom that out of a total loan of Rs.21,000/- in round numbers sanctioned about 17 years ago, a sum of Rs.5,000/- only is stated to have been adjusted towards the principal leaving at the close of 1911 the large loan about Rs.16,000/- remaining unpaid. The nett revenue of the mortgaged villages aggregates Rs.2,000/- and it is impossible to find out how in spite of the large sum of Rs.23,000/- ~~——~~ only Rs.5,000/- shown have been adjusted towards the principal. At this rate it is impossible for the loan to be liquidated even another century.

We have also applied for a reduction of the rate of interest on special considerations which the Government have already recognized. Even this representation has not been finally disposed of. The Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer supported our prayer a year back.

I feel therefore constrained to approach you once again with a request that the Financial Secretary may be kindly requested to look our accounts and that he will be

so

(14)

so good as to order a reduction in the rate of interest retrospectively and thus save the family from ruin which is otherwise a positive result of the present confused state of things.

For which act of kindness we shall be ever grateful to you.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

M. K. Krishna Rao.

Bangalore.
5th November 1912.

I n a m d a r,
313. Chaluvamba Agrahar,
M Y S O R E.

To,

Pradhana Siromani

T. Ananda Rao, Esquire, B.A., C.I.E.,

D e w a n of M y s o r e.

3561

1910. G.Z. 280–10

No. 3488. 17

Name of Petitioner— M. Krishnarao & others
Shanbhogs

Village— 28 Cheluvamba Agrahara Mysore

Taluk— Mysore

District— Mysore

Date of Arzi— 25-5-11

Date of Receipt— 14.6.11

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

The petitioners belong to the third Branch of Bakshi Thippu family called Sannu Rao branch They complain that they have not been furnished with the D. C. B accounts of Tirumalli & other villages which are under Govt management &c & that the Toddy revenue due to them has not been adjusted towards the liquidation of the loan granted to them &c Pray for redress in the matter. The petn is too lengthy.

Seen

I have marked 10 points in the letter & marked them A to J respectively.

My queries on these points are noted in a memo separately put up.

Pray let me have an explanatory note explaining matters on these ten points as they now stand

27/VII

11

R

27/7/12

Ans
26/7/11

Point A.

Has there been any change in the System?

Point B.

The ~~De~~ demand for detailed account is legitimate and it is very unsatisfactory that it has ~~t~~ not been complied with.

What causes its delay and how does the matter now stand?

Point C.

What about this delay in the introduction of the Survey Sett. ~~other facts~~ What are the facts

Point D

I wish to have a statement shewing briefly

the estimated income
which formed the basis
of the loan order and
the actual average
realizations Rough
To save time rough
figures will be sufficient?

Point E.

What will be the
effect if the interest
is reduced as desired?

Point F.

Has not Turnalla
been taken under
G. management? If
not, why not?

Point G.

How stands the
question of partition?
Has the partition been
effected?

Point H

What is the reversion referred to here? How stands the subject? Where is the file?

Point I

Is there a D. C. B statement available in the Sectt.? If so ~~Foot~~ let me see the latest?

Point J.

How does this question of Toddy Rev now stand

To,

T.Nanda Rao,Esq., B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Recd 14/6/11

Stamping Enclosure

Respected Sir,

We most respectfully beg to submit this application to your honor's gracious consideration.

1. We beg to state that we are the members of the third branch of Thippaiah's family - otherwise called Sannu Rao branch. Government were pleased to sanction to this branch a loan of Rs.12,782/- in 1896 and recently another Rs.8000/- on the security of one third share of Tirunelli Village,Hunsur Taluk, half of Padavanakodu Hosahalli Vritti lands in Chamarajnagar Taluk and Sarvamanya Hodenur Village in Hassan District.

2. At first Government granted loans to three branch of this family having in view that all the members of the family should join in executing a single document and be responsible both jointly and severally for the due discharge of the entire loan.

3. As the granting of the loan to our branch had to be delayed for a certain time the Government sanctioned loans to the other two branches and allowed the members to execute separate documents. Although they allowed such a procedure to be adopted by the Deputy Commissioner for convenience sake they did not seem to have with-held their view.

4. As soon as the documents were completed, the villages and lands were handed over to Government for being managed by them. In this connection we beg to state that one of the villages, viz., Tirunelli which was also ordered and taken over by Government for management, was ~~subsequently~~ subsequently allowed to remain in the hands of T.Srinivasa Rao, an inamdar and a loan holder, whose interests were adverse to the interests of this branch of the family.

5. Hitherto the Comptroller was adjusting the realizations of the villages to these three loans considering all the members are jointly and severally responsible for the loan.

which was the view of the Government while granting the loan and that they have not abandoned it.

6. Although these properties were taken under the management of Government as per agreement come to between the inamdar and Government, we were not favoured with any accounts relating to the income and expenditure and adjustments towards the loan. But, on the other hand we are being served with notices year by year mentioning a lump figure representing the balance outstanding against us. In the notice we were asked to admit the balance as correct or to dispute it if thought incorrect by us. We do not know how we haye to judge the accuracy of the balance in the absence of information. As we have not been given any detailed accounts we will not be in a position to know how the adjustments of the realizations of our villages from the time of the grant of the loan have been made and how the present - balance has been arrived at.

B

7. When the villages viz., Tirunelli, Hodeyanur were - handed over to Government, survey and settlement were not introduced into them. The Government before settlement expected a sum of Rs.650/- (Hodeyanur - Rs.270 plus Tirunelli Rs.380) from these two villages towards the Government loan. After the introduction of survey and settlement into the Hodenur Village the income, of course, has nearly doubled itself, and the realizations, we believe, are being properly adjusted towards our loan. In this connection we beg to bring to your honour's kind notice that preliminaries to the survey and settlement to this village were effected as far back as 1893 and the inamdars served with a notice in 1901 stating that the annoucement of the assessment as fixed by the Revenue Survey would be made. We do not know how the levy of the assessment fixed by the Revenue Survey till 1906-07 although the settlement was complete during 1900-01.

C

8. This omission has resulted in a serious loss of about 2,000 rupees to us. If the enhanced rate of assessment had - been announced in due time, ie., in 1901, we would not have suffered such a serious loss. The loan too would have been completely liquidated by this time. We now request your honour

to

to investigate the matter, and to compensate the inamdar for the loss for which they cannot be made liable. This fact of not having announced the settlement assessment in due time, has retrograded the village income rather than progressed.

9. Government expected Rs.380 from Tirunelli village towards the reduction of the Government loan before settlement. Although the settlement in 1899-1900 has enormously increased the income of the village the amount made available by the unauthorised agent towards our loan is but a trifling sum which is less than the net income expected by Government before settlement. We poor inamdars handed over these villages to the management of Government thinking that Government management would be far better than private management and that the condition of the village would be greatly improved. But to our misfortune the case is otherwise. Government were pleased to extend the concession of granting loans to several other inamdars and their villages too were taken even under Government management. We hear that a major portion of their loan has been reduced leaving, but a trifling balance against them.

10. Although our villages too were handed over to Government management they failed to ~~reduce~~ realize the amount expected by Government while granting the loan. At any rate by practical working the income seems to be quite insufficient even to cover the interest on the loan and we are sure that the -- Government loan will never be discharged even if these villages were to be allowed to continue under the management of Government for years. It is already 15 years since the Government sanctioned the loan. But the balance shown as outstanding -- against us is more or less equal to the amount of loan granted by Government. If the properties should continue in this neglected condition, we are afraid that Government will not realize even the interest on the loan.

11. We therefore hubly beg to approach Government with a request to reduce the interest from 5 to 3 per cent. The concession, we ~~hear~~ hear has been extended in the case of Mr. D. Devaraja Urs. The interest sanctioned in this instance is said to be 3¾ per cent. But we request the rate to be still further reduced considering the present condition of our ---

family.

12. The Government while granting the loan have considered this family a very special and one for the distinguishe services rendered by their ancestors to the State from 1629 to 1881 and have advanced a loan equal to 15 times the net revenue of the villages, which has not been extended to any other Inamdars to whom also Government have advanced loans. We ther fore approach Government to consider this request as a special case and extend their liberal concession in the direction of reducing the rate of interest prayed for.

13. The village of Tirunelli also was handed over to Government management after the execution of the document. But the same was somehow or other through the connivance of Taluk officials left in the management of T.Srinivasa Rao, an Inamdar whose interests were adverse to ours.

14. This fact having com e to the notice of Government an order was passed (Vide Government Proceedings No.) directing the village to be immediately taken over under the management of Government. Accordingly the Deputy Commissioner took over the management in 1909-1910 and Srinivasa Rao applied for the restoration of the manag ment to himself.

15. Since his interests were adverse to ours and that the village was mismanaged by him, we applied to the Deput Commissioner that T.Srinivasa Rao possedsedin interests adverse that he is also a debter, that he always remits amounts at his own instance without having regard to the shares possessed by this family in the village, and that he did not always care to remit the one-third share of the realisations for the reduction of our loan, that he incurred expenditure at his own instance which were more or less unauthorised, and that the matter should be enquired into and set right.

16. On this application, the Deputy Commissioner called for a report from the Sub Division Officer, Mysore. The Sub-Division Officer issued a notice to Inamdar, T.Srinivasa Rao to appear before him with all accounts relating to Tirunelli village.

Tirunelli village. Although the notice was personally served on him, he did not appear before the Sub Division officer with accounts and bring the matter to an end.

17. So, the Sub-Division Officer directed the prosecution of the person for having disobeyed his orders, and requested the Deputy Commissioner for sanction. He even added that Srinivasa Rao did not appear with accounts and one of the members viz., Krishna Rao appeared with information supported by documents to show that this family has suffered to the extent of about Rs.2000/- by the mismanagement of Srinivasa Rao and that the future collections of his portion of the village should be withheld till the man turns up with his accounts and satisfies Government for the shortcomings complained of by the Inamdars.

18. Since the management of the village was taken away from the Inamdars,he applied several times to Government for the restoration of the management to him, to which Government were pleased to order in their Proceedings No.Fl. 1989-37-09 dated 9th October 1909 and No.Fl.3471/G.F.37-09 dated 21st January 1910, that he may place himself in communication with the Deputy Commissioner and reconcile the differences between his account of the village and that of the Deputy Commissioner and establish to the satisfaction of that officer tha the entire loan has been repaid and as regards the partition, they informed him that if he effects a partition it will be — practicable to consider his request.

19. While circumstances stood thus,T.Srinivasa Rao applied to the Deputy Commissioner for the payment of his share out of the realisations of Tirumelli village. The Deputy Commissioner referred the petition to the Sub-Division Officer asking him whether the amount might be paid to him. The Sub-Division officer replied that as Srinivasa Rao had not rendered any accounts though called for, and as he stood responsib to certain amounts due to Sannu Rao's share, his one-third shar might be reserved for adjustment towards Sannu Rao's loan ~~aee~~ account.

20.

20. In spite of the Sub-Division Officer's report stating to withhold payment, the party somehow or other managed to get back his share of the realisations without satisfying the Deputy Commissioner ~~xxxxx~~ or Sub-Division Officer by rendering accounts. As the loan account of this branch has not received its fair share of revenue from the Tirunelli village and as the Inamdars clearly pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner the source from which this shortage could be made - good, the Deputy Commissioner does not seem to have taken notice of our prayer at all in as much as he paid the amount to Srinivasa Rao. So, we are put to heavy loss, in addition to what we have already suffered by the neglect of the Government, officers in having allowed the village to be mismanaged . We therefore request your honor to issue needful orders to the Deputy Commissioner to withhold payment to Srinivasa Rao until he makes good the shortage of this loan account.

21. We hear that certain accounts of this family are submitted to Government for revision by the Deputy Commr of Mysore. As this question has to be taken into consideration only after the village accounts are rendered by Srinivasa Rao and the shortage also made available for the reduction of the loan, we beg to request that orders in this direction be issued to the Deputy Commissioner and the revision of accounts ordered to be kept in abeyance till complete and satisfactory accounts are rendered.

22. Our several repeated requests to the Deputy Commissioners of Hassan and Mysore for a Demand, Collection and Balance account of our villages (giving the particulars of the several items of Revenue realisable from the villages) from the date of the loan have proved futile. We therefore beg your honor to direct these Deputy Commissioners to furnish us with accounts prayed for <u>at an early date</u>. Unless our prayer with regard to the accounts is complied with we beg to represent tha we are unable to admit the balance which may be hereafter shown against us.

25. Although the claim to Toddy Revenue from the Tirunelli village was settled as far back as 1903-04, the amount remaining unpaid for the last 18 years or more. If this amoun too is not made available for adjustment towards our loan the revision will not be said to be complete. So we request that the Toddy Revenue may be early ordered to be refunded and the same adjusted towards our account and till then the revision may be ordered to be kept in abeyance.

For which act of kindness, it is needless to say, that we shall ever pray.

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Bangalore city
25. 5. 11

M. K. Krishna Rao
Inamdar.
(for the members of the third Branch)

313, Cheluvamba Agrahar, Mysore.

G.R.S. 34]

No. H7523

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

Office Memorandum.

To

the 30 June 1913

M. R Ry.

M. V. Gangadhara Rao
& Others of Bakshi Thippaiah's family
Chowdaiah's Bungalow
Chamraj Road
Mysore.

With reference to their petition dy 20/3/191
addressed to H H the Maharaja and subsequent
reminders praying that the rate of interest on the
loan granted to their family may be reduced
and that the Jahagir properties of the family may
be rendered inalienable and unattachable by Judicia
process, the petitioners are hereby informed that their
requests cannot be granted.

By order.

No H7524 dy 30-6-13

for Secretary.

LV/24/6

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore
for information and guidance with reference to his letter
No 423 dy 24.2.1912.

for Secretary.

GPB—10,000—18-8-10

Z.

11/6/1913.